

Gamma Ray Burst as Vacuum Discharge of Super-Schwinger Electric Fields

R. Lieu¹, Y. Takahashi¹ and T.W.B. Kibble²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Alabama, Huntsville, AL 35899.
(lieur@cspars.uah.edu, yoshi@cosmic.uah.edu)

² Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW 7 2BZ.
(t.kibble@ic.ac.uk)

A theory is proposed to explain with simplicity the basic observed properties of a Gamma Ray Burst (GRB). It employs a well-known result of Schwinger, that static electric fields in excess of a critical value are unstable to pair creation, and catastrophically produces a thermal plasma at temperatures ≤ 0.5 MeV. By using observational values for the energy and volume of the source, it is shown that the radiation pressure of an expanding GRB ‘fireball’ leads to the formation of a Schwinger critical field at the ambient medium immediately outside the ‘fireball’. This naturally provides a runaway solution which is inevitable, and which must involve a burst of gamma radiation in the core of the observed energy range and in an optically thin environment. The observed burst duration of 1 – 10 seconds is also a straightforward consequence of the theory.

It has long been recognized (Schwinger 1951) that when a static electric field exceeds a critical value $E = m_e^2 c^3 / e \hbar = E_c$, corresponding to an electron acceleration of $a_c \sim 2.4 \times 10^{31}$ cm s⁻², it is unstable with respect to pair production. Under such a circumstance, a virtual pair of electron and positron is accelerated in opposite directions by the electric field to the speed of light within a Compton wavelength. This greatly reduces the probability of annihilation: real pair production may then take place at the energy expense of the field. The process is analogous to a lightning discharge, except that it happens in vacuum. The rate of pair production per unit volume is

given by the formula Schwinger derived:

$$\frac{d^2 N}{dV dt} = \frac{\alpha^2 E^2}{\pi^2 \hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{-n\pi E_c}{E}\right)$$

where α is the fine structure constant and N is the number of pairs created. Using this formula, we find that for $E \sim E_c$ the ‘vacuum breakdown’ causes the field to dissipate its energy in a timescale of $\sim 10^{-16}$ seconds, resulting in a mixture of gamma rays and pair plasma at temperature ≤ 0.5 MeV. Owing to the strength of E_c , its instability has not been realized in the laboratory. This paper outlines a theory of GRB based on the Schwinger mechanism (SM).

The first detection of delayed GRB afterglows at optical wavelength (van Paradijs et al 1997) quickly led to a settlement of the question concerning the distance scale: GRB must be of cosmological origin. Furthermore, the high redshift association ($0.8 < z < 2.0$, see Bond 1997, Frail and Kulkarni 1997) and the presence of deep and rapid temporal modulations in the GRB flux (Bhat et al 1992) render it by now a generally accepted fact that the intrinsic energy of a GRB is $\geq 10^{52}$ ergs and the size of the burst region (the ‘fireball’) is $\leq 3 \times 10^7$ cm.

The data immediately pose extreme difficulties in the search for a viable GRB emission mechanism: even at gamma ray energies the Thomson optical depth at such high densities is $\gg 1$, implying that radiation cannot leave the source unless the latter has expanded sufficiently to become optically thin. By then, however, the source size will be incompatible with that imposed by the observed time variability. Furthermore, it is unclear how a relativistic expansion model leads to the considerable observable consistencies in the basic properties of GRBs, especially given the wide range of Lorentz factor dependence of the various parameters (from γ to γ^6 , see Meszaros and Rees 1993). Thus, e.g., why should the emission of a high γ system be invariably peaked at around the pair production energy? We emphasize that a relativistic bulk outflow is *not* an inevitable fate of the ‘fireball’. This is only so if the system has a very small baryonic fraction (Meszaros and Rees 1993). If on the other hand the ‘fireball’ contains $1 M_{\odot}$ worth of baryonic matter (as is reasonably the case, since the ‘host’ of the ‘fireball’ is likely to be $\geq 1 M_{\odot}$ massive, see below) the gravitational potential would be sufficient to bind a

system of protons, electrons, positrons and radiation in thermal equilibrium at $kT \leq 10$ MeV within the ‘fireball’ radius. Although the radiation pressure is super-Eddington the system cannot overcome gravitation, the result is oscillations of the ‘envelope’ with the dynamic timescale of $\sim 10^{-3}$ s, which may be responsible for the observed variability.

We propose here an alternative model of GRB which can explain its basic properties without invoking extreme assumptions. We are not primarily concerned with the origin of the energy in the ‘fireball’, except to say that we presume a very rapid energy release over timescales $\sim 10^{-3}$ s, such as by neutron star merging or stellar collapse (Paczynski 1993), all of which involves $\geq 1 M_\odot$ object(s) at the center of the system. Since a quantum must diffuse very slowly out of the ‘fireball’, the correct way of estimating the energy density is to divide the total energy of the GRB by the source volume. Using the numbers quoted above, one obtains a value of $U_{GRB} \sim 10^{29}$ ergs cm^{-3} , which corresponds to a black body temperature of $kT \sim 5$ MeV. Since the dense environment of the ‘fireball’ necessitates very frequent collisions, baryons and radiation cannot avoid the condition of thermal equilibrium. This implies that electrons and protons also have $kT \sim 5$ MeV, and the system is gravitationally bound as argued before.

To appreciate the significance of SM in the understanding of GRB, one must first realize that the radiation pressure exerted by an expanding ‘fireball’ of energy density U_{GRB} on the ambient matter immediately outside it is enormous, *sufficient to cause a vacuum breakdown*. This is demonstrated by calculating the electron acceleration due to radiation: $a = \sigma_{Th} U_{GRB} / m_e \sim 7 \times 10^{31} \text{ cm s}^{-2} > a_c$. Since proton acceleration is reduced by a factor of $(m_e/m_p)^3$, momentarily the electrons advance radially outwards while the protons remain effectively stationary. This means a static electric field $E > E_c$ must exist between the two types of particles, because the electrons move with $a > a_c$ with respect to the protons. Such a field will discharge by the SM, and will convert the surface flow energy of the ‘fireball’ into thermal energy at $kT \leq 0.5$ MeV *in an optically thin region* just outside it. The discharge is self-sustaining in that it will propagate into the ‘fireball’ and ‘extract’ the bulk of its energy into an avalanche of electron- positron pairs. The ensuing annihilation produces gamma rays which *can* escape. The result is the GRB that we observe.

Another basic property of GRB which should be explained is the observed burst duration, typically 1 – 10 seconds. *This is a natural consequence of the theory.* The reasoning is as follows. A static electric field of strength $E \geq E_c$ must have length $\geq$ an electron Compton wavelength $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-10}$ cm before it can discharge. At shorter lengths there will not be sufficient distance for an electron to separate from a positron - a necessary condition for the formation of real pairs. Thus the ‘fireball’ radiation pressure must push out the ambient plasma selectively to produce a discharge layer of thickness $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-10}$ cm, the SM will then commence, with a discharge time of 10^{-16} s, as given above. The ratio of these two numbers implies that the discharge propagates into the ‘fireball’ at a speed of 2.5×10^6 cm per sec. Since the ‘fireball’ has size $\sim 3 \times 10^7$ cm, it will be consumed in a timescale of $\sim 1 - 10$ seconds, in excellent agreement with the observed duration of a GRB. This slow, inward moving discharge layer provides ample time for the gamma rays and pairs already created outside it by the SM to escape from the optically thick ‘fireball’ (none of the created quanta is gravitationally bound). Thus an observable GRB event *can* emerge from a compact region.

In conclusion, we propose a theory which explains with simplicity the basic observed properties of a GRB. Firstly, the significance of an intrinsic energy $\sim 10^{52}$ ergs and a size $\sim 3 \times 10^7$ cm may now be understood: if a major perturbation occurs to these two numbers the radiation pressure of the ‘fireball’ on the ambient medium will either generate sub-Schwinger electric fields, resulting in no discharge, or super-Schwinger fields with discharge having happened in advance to reduce the energy density. Secondly, the theory naturally predicts a catastrophic runaway in the form of a burst of gamma rays: the duration of a GRB is 1 – 10 seconds due to the speed of the propagation of the discharge, and the radiation is gamma rays due to the post-discharge temperature. The usual problem of source optical thickness is not a difficulty here.

The theory may be relevant to supernova explosions also, since the core electromagnetic energy density is $\sim$ that of a GRB. If correct, these dramatic astronomical events will become live witnesses of Schwinger’s great prediction - a prediction which has hitherto not been verified in terrestrial environments.

We thank Martin Rees, Jan van Paradijs, Walter Lewin and Gordon

Emslie for helpful discussions.

References

- Bhat, P.N. et al, 1992, *Nature*, 359, 217.
Bond, H.E. 1997, IAU Circ 6654.
Frail, D.A. & Kulkarni, S.R. 1997, IAU Circ 6662.
Meszaros, P. & Rees, M.J., 1993, *ApJ* 405, 278.
Paczynski, B. 1993, in ‘Compton Gamma Ray Observatory’, ed. Friedlander et al (New York: AIP), 981.
Schwinger, J. 1951, *Phys. Rev.* 82, 664.
van Paradijs, J. et al 1997, *Nature*, 386, 686.